

**Respondent Name: Chris Mason - Friends of the Parkland Walk**

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

<b>Document</b>	<b>Policy/Para number</b>	<b>Legally compliant?</b>	<b>Sound?</b>	<b>Complies with DtC?</b>	<b>Please give further comments.</b>	<b>Please set out suggested changes</b>	<b>Do you wish to attend the oral examination?</b>
Policies Map	Not specified	Yes	No	No	<p>Policies Map – Parkland Walk boundaries and notation. The Friends of the Parkland Walk object to a practice that it regards as unsound of having the notation of a housing development site shown outlined in red on the policies map over an area of Metropolitan Open land, Nature Reserve, Green Chain, green corridor and SINC. A similar objection has been made to the Highgate Neighbourhood Plan and the view expressed there and repeated here, is that the developable land should be the site designated and allocated (in this case as SA 40 – in the case of the Neighbourhood Plan, KS5) and that the link referred to should be a different notation (in this case it could be the Green Chain notation to cover the desired link between the walkable part of the Parkland Walk and the</p>	<p>Amendment to the MOL boundary in discussion with FPW as stakeholders and if there is an overall loss to enhance the designation by adding contiguous areas, as stated above.</p>	Yes

**Respondent Name: Chris Mason - Friends of the Parkland Walk**

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>connections to the north (in this case the footpath adjacent to Highgate Library). Also in respect of this Nature Reserve, the Friends question whether it is wise to have the Nature reserve notation covering the Shepherd's Hill allotments that are not managed as part of the Nature Reserve. The Friends would prefer to see the mapping presented to be consistent with the allotments further East in Shepherd's Hill MOL and SINC (of different level of importance) or, if not of importance, no SINC status (as are the allotments at Mount Pleasant Villas). Also in respect of Policies Map, the Friends consider it is unsound to vary the extent of Metropolitan Open Land without discussion with us as stakeholders, nor the opportunity to be consulted on proposed changes. The Friends note that there was discussion about the notation in the Inquiry in 1994 but the Friends are of the view that there has never been a debate, discussion or proposal to reduce MOL boundaries since</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Respondent Name: Chris Mason - Friends of the Parkland Walk**

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>they were originally designated in 1982. We note that in other places there have been some additional designations. The Friends endorse the mapping notation that maintains the MOL, Nature Reserve and SINC boundary on the lines of the fences of the original boundary as purchased from British Rail in the areas where sales have been made or leases for the temporary use of the Walk as residential garden extensions. A note is made at the end of this representation about the practice and administration of this asset. In respect of the Policies Map, alterations have been made rear or properties at Church Crescent, Muswell Hill; Treeside Place, Cranley Gardens; Land to the west of the house at 3 Francis Place off Holmesdale Road; a strip of land opposite Coleridge School in Crescent Road and the Mind Centre (formerly Station House, Stapleton Hall Road). In all these cases MOL could be de-designated by stealth by a mapping change, to which an</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Respondent Name: Chris Mason - Friends of the Parkland Walk**

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>objection is made as an unsound practice. As far as the Friends are concerned this appears to repeat mapping errors made before, but as in the case precedents of the Green Belt, the designation is as first made, unless changed as a formal proposal to de-designate or move the boundary in the plan making process. This has not been addressed through community engagement. In respect of the land that has been annexed to residential gardens, the Friends deplore this practice of selling or leasing areas of the Nature Reserve and parcels of MOL to become garden extensions. The land thus affected becomes neither parkland nor open. It does not contribute to the linear open land when used this way and it appears that no temporary planning permission was considered or granted for a temporary period to coincide with the leases granted nor permanent permission applied for and processed in the cases where land as actually sold freehold.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Respondent Name: Chris Mason - Friends of the Parkland Walk**

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>The Friends consider that the acquisition of rights (for lawful use over 10 years) is not the proper way to change the use and, in the case of leases, which may expire, to secure the reversion to the nature reserve when the lease period, and with it a temporary land use, expires. In respect of the alteration to the character of MOL, the practice of granting leases or sales damages the extent and character of the MOL. When land is lost, it is the expected practice to replace it with land of equal value. The Friends will raise with the Inspector at the Examination whether it is appropriate for him to consider the original boundary to be maintained and restored on reversion and, where not practical, to arrange for an area of replacement land to be designated in place of the losses. The Friends will put forward alternatives for replacement in due course.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--